

RESEARCH PAPERS

Local times of N -parameter Gaussian processes^{*}LIN Zhengyan^{1**} and CHENG Zongmao^{1,2}

(1. Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310028, China; 2. Hangzhou Institute of Electronics and Engineering, Hangzhou 310012, China)

Received April 22, 2004; revised August 5, 2004

Abstract An N -parameter Gaussian stationary process $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ is introduced and the existence and joint continuity of its local times is presented. And the moments of local times are estimated. Furthermore moduli of continuity and large increment results for the local times are established.

Keywords: N -parameter Gaussian process, local time, moduli of continuity, large increments.

1 Introduction and statement of the main results

The parameter space is $R_+^N = [0, \infty)^N$, throughout. A typical parameter $t \in R_+^N$ is written as $t = (t_1, \dots, t_N)$ coordinatewise. There is a natural partial order " \leq " on R_+^N . Namely, $s \leq t$ if and only if $s_l \leq t_l$ for all $l = 1, \dots, N$. When $s \leq t$, we define the closed interval

$$[s, t] = \prod_{l=1}^N [s_l, t_l].$$

Throughout, we will let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all N -dimensional closed intervals $I \subset (0, \infty)^N$ with the form $I = [s, t]$, where $s \leq t$ and both are in $(0, \infty)^N$. We always write λ_m for Lebesgue's measure on R^m , and use $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $|\cdot|$ to denote the ordinary scalar product and the Euclidean norm respectively.

Definition 1. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be a real-valued N -parameter stochastic process. For any Borel set A in the line R and $T \subset R_+^N$, let the occupation time

$$H(A, T) = \lambda_N\{s; s \in T, X(s) \in A\}. \quad (1)$$

If, for any fixed T , $H(\cdot, T)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue's measure λ_N in R_+^N then its Radon-Nikodym derivative is called the local time of X on T , denoted by $L(x, T)$. It follows from the definition that

$$L(x, S) \leq L(x, T) \text{ for } S \subseteq T \subseteq R_+^N, \quad (2)$$

$$H(A, T) = \int_A L(x, T) dx \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & H(A, [0, t+h]) - H(A, [0, t]) \\ &= \int_A (L(x, [0, t+h]) - L(x, [0, t])) dx, \\ & t, t+h \in R_+^N. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. A real-valued N -parameter stochastic process $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ is called Gaussian process with stationary increments if for $t \in R_+^N$, $X(t)$ is a Gaussian random variable, and for any $[t, t+h] \subseteq R_+^N$

$$X([t, t+h]) \stackrel{d}{=} X(h).$$

The local times of single parameter Gaussian process with stationary increments have been studied by Csörgő et al.^[1]. In this paper, we study local times of N -parameter Gaussian process with stationary increments under the following assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X(s), X(t)) &= \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_j^2(|s_j|) + \sigma_j^2(|t_j|) \\ &\quad - \sigma_j^2(|s_j - t_j|)), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma_j^2(l)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, are non-decreasing and concave on $(0, \infty)$. Some common and important N -parameter Gaussian processes satisfy (4), such as N -

^{*} Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10131040) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctor Program of Higher Education (Grant No. 2002335090)

^{**} To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: zlin@mail.hz.zj.cn

parameter Brownian motion, the Kiefer process and N -parameter fractional Brownian motion. Condition (4) implies that

$$\sigma^2(t) := EX^2(t) = \prod_{j=1}^N \sigma_j^2(t_j). \quad (5)$$

In the case of a single parameter standard Wiener

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1-h} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{L(x, [0, t+h]) - L(x, [0, t])}{\{2h \log h^{-1}\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (6)$$

Csáki et al.^[4] proved that one can replace $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0}$ by $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0}$ in (7) and they obtained also the following

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \frac{L(x, [0, s+a(t)]) - L(x, [0, s])}{\{a(t)(\log t/a(t) + 2\log \log t)\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8)$$

for each $x \in R$, and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{L(x, [0, s+a(t)]) - L(x, [0, s])}{\{a(t)(\log t/a(t) + 2\log \log t)\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (9)$$

Moreover, if we also assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\log \frac{t}{a(t)} \right) \setminus \log \log t = \infty$, then \limsup can be replaced by \lim in Eqs. (8) and (9). Taking $a(t) = t$ in both (8) and (9), we obtain the law of the iterated logarithm, proved by Kesten^[5] for local time $L(\cdot, \cdot)$ of a Wiener process:

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(x, [0, t])}{(2t \log \log t)^{1/2}} \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{L(x, [0, t])}{(2t \log \log t)^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For local time $L(x, T)$ of a single parameter Gaussian process $X = \{X(t); t \geq 0\}$, Csörgő et al.^[1] showed some results, which are analogous to the above (6), (7) and (8).

The main objective of this paper is to study the existence and joint continuity of the local times of an N -parameter Gaussian process. The results we obtained are analogous to those of Csörgő et al.^[1].

First we point out existence and joint continuity of the local times of an N -parameter Gaussian process. Berman^[6] and Gemen^[7] showed the existence of the joint continuity of local times of a Gaussian process in the one-parameter case, and by a similar procedure we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be an N -parameter Gaussian process with mean zero and stationary increments. If

process there has been a great amount of elegant work on its local time. Hawkes^[2] showed the moduli of continuity of $L(x, [0, t])$ on t

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1-h} \frac{L(0, [0, t+h]) - L(0, [0, t])}{\{h \log h^{-1}\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad (6)$$

while Perkins^[3] obtained

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1-h} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{L(x, [0, t+h]) - L(x, [0, t])}{\{2h \log h^{-1}\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (7)$$

results: let $0 < a(t) \leq t$ be a non-decreasing function of $t \geq 0$, and assume that $a(t)/t$ is non-increasing, then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \frac{L(x, [0, s+a(t)]) - L(x, [0, s])}{\{a(t)(\log t/a(t) + 2\log \log t)\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (8)$$

for each $x \in R$, and

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \frac{L(x, [0, s+a(t)]) - L(x, [0, s])}{\{a(t)(\log t/a(t) + 2\log \log t)\}^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (9)$$

then the local time of X exists and if $\sigma_j^2(h_j)$ is continuous and concave for $0 \leq h_j \leq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, then $L(x, T)$ is jointly continuous almost surely.

Theorem 2. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be a Gaussian process with mean zero and stationary increments. Assume that condition (4) is satisfied.

(i) Assume that $X(0) = 0$ and $\sigma_j^2(l)$ is non-decreasing and concave on $(0, h_{0j})$ satisfying

$$\sigma_j(ah_j) \geq c_0^j a^\alpha \sigma_j(h_j), \quad 0 \leq h_j \leq h_{0j} \quad (11)$$

for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1/2$, c_0^j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Put $c_0 = \prod_{j=1}^N c_0^j$, $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E(L(x, [t, t+h]))^m \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} 2^{mN} \exp \left(- \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(t+h)} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{16^{Nm} (m!)^\alpha}{c_0^m \sigma^m(h)} \lambda([0, h])^m \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for each integer $m \geq 1$, $0 < h \leq h_0 = (h_{01}, \dots, h_{0N}) \in R_+^N$ and $x \in R$.

If, additionally, X is stationary with $EX^2(0) = 1$ instead of $X(0) = 0$ and $\sigma_j^2(h_{0j}) \leq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & E(L(x, [t, t+h]))^m \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} 2^{mN} \exp \left(- \frac{x^2}{2^{N+1}} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \frac{16^{Nm} (m!)^\alpha}{c_0^m \sigma^{m-1}(h)} \lambda([0, h])^m \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for each integer $m \geq 2$, $0 < h \leq h_0$, $x \in R$.

(ii) Assume that $X(0) = 0$ and $\sigma_j^2(l)$ is non-decreasing and concave on $(0, 1)$, satisfying

$$\sigma_j(ah_j) \geq c_0^j a^j \sigma_j(h_j), \quad 0 \leq h_j \leq 1 \quad (14)$$

for some $0 < a < 1$, $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1/2$, $c_0 > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{x \in R} (L(x, [t, t+h]))^m \\ \leq 32 \times 12^m \frac{172^{Nm} \sigma^{-4/3}(1) (m!)^{N+\alpha}}{c_0^{m+8/3} \sigma^m(h)} \\ \cdot \lambda([0, h])^{m-4\alpha/3} \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + \sigma_j(2)) \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

for each even integer $m \geq 4$, $0 < h \leq 1$, $0 < t \leq 1$.

If, additionally, X is stationary with $EX^2(0) = 1$ instead of $X(0) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{x \in R} (L(x, [t, t+h]))^m \\ \leq 64 \times 12^m \frac{86^{Nm} \sigma^{-1/3}(1) (m!)^{N+\alpha}}{c_0^{m+8/3} \sigma^m(h)} \\ \cdot \lambda([0, h])^{m-\alpha/3}. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

Next we give results on large increments and moduli of continuity of the local times of an N -parameter Gaussian process.

Theorem 3. Let $a(t) = (a^1(t), \dots, a^N(t))$ and $b(t) = (b^1(t), \dots, b^N(t))$ be non-negative functions of $t \in R_+^N$. For $j = 1, \dots, N$, put $a_j^* = \sup_{t \in R_+^N} a^j(t)$. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be a Gaussian

process with $X(0) = 0$, mean zero and stationary increments. Assume that condition (4) is satisfied with $\sigma_j(l)$ satisfying the conditions in (i) of Theorem 2 with $h_{0j} = a_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, and

$$\frac{1 + \lambda_N([0, b(t)])}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)])} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda_N([0, t]) \rightarrow \infty. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq b(t)} \frac{L(x, [s, s+a(t)])}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)]) \beta_t^\alpha / \sigma(a(t))} \\ \leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in R$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t = \log \frac{\lambda_N([0, b(t)])}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)])} \\ + N \log \log \left[\lambda_N([0, a(t)]) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)])}. \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be a Gaussian process satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1 with $h_{0j} = 1$ instead of $h_{0j} = a_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(0, [0, h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) (N \log \log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^\alpha / \sigma(h)} \\ \leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a.s.} \\ \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \frac{L(0, [s, s+h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) (N \log \log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^\alpha / \sigma(h)} \\ \leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2. Let $Z = \{Z(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be an N -parameter fractional Wiener process of order $\tau = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1/2$, i.e. a centered Gaussian process with stationary increments and $EX([0, h])^2 = \prod_{j=1}^N h_j^{2\alpha_j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(0, [0, h])}{(\lambda_N([0, h]))^{1-\alpha} (N \log \log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^\alpha} \\ \leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a.s.} \\ \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \frac{L(0, [s, s+h])}{(\lambda_N([0, h]))^{1-\alpha} (N \log \log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^\alpha} \\ \leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. Let $b(h) = (b^1(h), \dots, b^N(h))$ be a function of $h \in R_+^N$ and $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+^N\}$ be a stationary N -parameter Gaussian process with mean zero, stationary increments and $EX^2(0) = 1$. Assume that condition (4) is satisfied with $\sigma_j^2(l)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$ satisfying the conditions in (ii) of Theorem 2. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq b(h)} \frac{L(x, [s, s+h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) \gamma_h^\alpha / \sigma(h)} \\ \leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in R$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_h = \log \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_N([0, h]) + \lambda_N([0, b(h)])}{\lambda_N([0, h])} \right] \\ \cdot \sigma(h) \log^{3/2} \frac{1}{\lambda_N([0, h])}. \quad (21) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4, we have

$$\limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \frac{L(x, [s, s+h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) \beta_h / \sigma(h)}$$

$$\leq \frac{16^N}{c_0} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{a. s.}$$

and

$$\limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(x, [0, h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) \sigma(h)^{\alpha-1} \log^{2\alpha}(1/\lambda_N([0, h]))} = 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

for each $x \in R$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, where

$$\beta_h = \log^\alpha(1 + (\lambda_N([0, h]))^{\theta-1} \circ \sigma(h) \log^{3/2}(1/\lambda_N([0, h]))).$$

$$\limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq \lambda_N([0, s]) \leq (\lambda_N([0, h]))^\theta} \frac{L(x, [s, s+h])}{(\lambda_N([0, h]))^{1+\alpha(\theta-2+\alpha)} \log^{2\alpha}(1/\lambda_N([0, h]))} = 0 \quad \text{a. s.}$$

for each $x \in R$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

Theorem 5. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+\}$ be an N -parameter Gaussian process with mean zero,

$$\limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1 - \infty < x < \infty} \frac{L(x, [s, s+h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) (\log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^{\alpha+N} \sigma(h)} \leq \frac{12 \times 172^N}{c_0} \left(2 + \frac{8}{3} \alpha \right)^{\alpha+N} \quad \text{a. s.} \quad (22)$$

Theorem 6. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+\}$ be an N -parameter stationary Gaussian process with mean zero, stationary increments and $EX^2(0) = 1$. Assume that $\sigma_j^2(l)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, satisfy the conditions in Theorem 4. Then (22) holds.

2 Proofs of theorems

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need some lemmas. The following lemma is a version of Lemma 3.5 in Ref. [1] for the N -parameter case.

Lemma 1. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+\}$ be a Gaussian process with mean zero and stationary increments. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and $R(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ be the covariance matrix of $X(s_1), \dots, X(s_m)$. Then for any $x \in R$, $t, h \in R_+$

$$E(L(x, [t, t+h]))^m = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} \int_{[t, t+h]} \dots \int_{[t, t+h]} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{EX^2(s_1)} \right\} \circ |R(s_1, \dots, s_n)|^{-1/2} ds_1 \dots ds_m, \quad (23)$$

where $|R|$ denotes the determinant of R .

Lemma 2. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+\}$ be an N -parameter Gaussian process with stationary increments and covariance function (4) and let $X^j = \{X^j(t), t \in R_+\}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, be a single parameter Gaussian process with mean zero, stationary increments and $\text{Var} X^j(l) = \sigma_j^2(l)$, $j = 1,$

Corollary 4. Let $X = \{X(t); t \in R_+\}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with mean zero, stationary increments and $EX^2(0) = 1$. Assume that $\sigma_j^2(l)$ is non-decreasing, continuous and concave on $(0, 1)$, satisfying $c_1^j h_j^\alpha \leq \sigma_j(h_j) \leq c_0^j h_j^\alpha$ for some $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, $0 < c_1 \leq c_0$ and all $0 < h_j \leq 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then

stationary increments and $X(0) = 0$. Assume that $\sigma_j^2(l)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, satisfy the conditions in Theorem 4. Then

\dots, N , for $l \in R_+$. Then for any integer $n \geq 2$ and $s_k = (s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kN})$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$|R(s_1, \dots, s_n)| \geq \prod_{j=1}^N |R_j(s_{1j}, \dots, s_{nj})|, \quad (24)$$

where $R(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $R_j(s_{1j}, \dots, s_{nj})$ are covariance matrices of $(X(s_1), \dots, X(s_n))$ and $(X^j(s_{1j}), \dots, X^j(s_{nj}))$ respectively.

Proof. Recall that the Hadamard product of two $n \times n$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix defined as $A \circ B = (a_{ij} b_{ij})$. A classical theorem of Oppenheim (cf. Horn et al.^[8]) asserts that if A and B are positive semidefinite Hermitian matrices, then

$$|A \circ B| \geq |A| \circ |B|. \quad (25)$$

By condition (4) we see that the covariance matrix $R(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is the Hadamard product of the covariance matrices $R_j(s_{1j}, \dots, s_{nj})$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Hence (24) is true.

Proof of Theorem 2. Recalling (5) and using Lemmas 1 and 2 and Lemma 3.3 in [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(L(x, [t, t+h]))^m &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} \int_{[t, t+h]} \dots \int_{[t, t+h]} \exp \left\{ \frac{-x^2}{2EX^2(s_1)} \right\} \\ &\quad \circ |R(s_1, \dots, s_m)|^{-1/2} ds_1 \dots ds_m \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(t+h)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{j=1}^N \int_{[t_j, t_j+h]} \cdots \int_{[t_j, t_j+h]} \\
& \cdot |R_j(s_{1j}) \cdots s_{mj}|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds_{1j} \cdots ds_{mj} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} 2^{mN} \exp \left[-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(t+h)} \right] \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^N \int_{[t_j, t_j+h]} \cdots \int_{[t_j, t_j+h]} \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma_j(s_{1j}) \sigma_j(s_{2j} - s_{2j}) \cdots \sigma_j(s_{mj} - s_{m-1,j})} \\
& \cdot ds_{1j} \cdots ds_{mj} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} 2^{mN} \exp \left[-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(t+h)} \right] \\
& \times \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{m! h_j^m}{c_0^m \sigma(h_j)^m} \int_{0 \leq l_1 \leq \cdots \leq l_m \leq 1} \cdots \int \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{l_1^\alpha (l_2 - l_1)^\alpha \cdots (l_m - l_{m-1})^\alpha} dl_1 \cdots dl_m \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} 2^{mN} \exp \left[-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(t+h)} \right] \\
& \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(16h_j)^m}{(c_0^j)^m \sigma_j(h_j)^m} (m!)^{\alpha_j} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{m/2} 2^{mN} \exp \left[-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2(t+h)} \right] \\
& \cdot \frac{16^{Nm} (m!)^\alpha}{c_0^m \sigma^m(h)} \lambda([0, h])^m, \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

here the fourth inequality follows in the same way as in the proof of (3.10) in [1]. (12) is proved.

The proof of (13) is similar to that of (12) by using Lemma 3.4 instead of Lemma 3.3 in [1].

For an N -parameter Gaussian process $X(t)$, we have similar results in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 in [1]. Using Lemma 2 again and along the lines of the proofs of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 in [1] and (12), we can prove (15) and (16).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, t]) \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq b(t)} \frac{L(x, [s, s+a(t)])}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)]) \beta_t^\alpha / \sigma(a(t))} \\
& \leq \limsup_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_j \geq r_j, j=1, \dots, N} \sup_{t \in \bigcap_{j=1}^N A_{k_j, l_j}^j} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq b(t)} \frac{\sigma(a(t)) (L(x, [s, s+a(t)]))}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)]) \beta_t^\alpha} \\
& \leq \limsup_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_j \geq r_j, j=1, \dots, N} \sup_{t \in \bigcap_{j=1}^N A_{k_j, l_j}^j} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq b_{K, L}} \frac{\sigma(a_K) L(x, [s, s+a_K])}{\theta^k \beta_{k, l}^\alpha} \\
& \leq \limsup_{|k| \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_j \geq r_j, j=1, \dots, N} \max_{0 \leq M \leq \theta^{L+1}} \frac{\sigma(a_K) L(x, [Ma_K, (M+1)a_K])}{\theta^k \beta_{k, l}^\alpha}, \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. Let ξ be a non-negative random variable. Assume that

$$E\xi^m \leq C(m!)^\alpha \quad (27)$$

for some $C > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ and each $m \geq 2$. Then

$$P\{\xi > y\} \leq \frac{K_\alpha C}{(\exp(y^{1/\alpha}/4) - 1)^{2\alpha}} \quad (28)$$

for any $y > 0$, where K_α is a positive constant depending only on α .

The proof can be found in [1].

Lemma 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P\left\{L(x, [t, t+h]) \geq \frac{2^{N-1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} \frac{16^N \lambda([0, h])}{c_0 \sigma(h)} y\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{K_\alpha \exp\{-x^2/2\sigma^2(t+h)\}}{(\exp(y^{1/\alpha}/4) - 1)^{2\alpha}} \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $0 < h \leq a^*$, $y > 0$, $x \in R$.

The proof follows immediately from (12) and Lemma 3.

Proof of Theorem 3.

Let $1 < \theta < \frac{5}{4}$. For $j = 1, \dots, N$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{k_j}^j &= \{t; \theta^{k_j} < d^j(t) \leq \theta^{k_j+1}\}, \\
&\quad -\infty < k_j < \infty, \\
A_{k_j, l_j}^j &= \{t; \theta^{l_j} \leq b^j(t)/d^j(t) < \theta^{l_j+1}, t \in A_{k_j}^j\}, \\
l_j &= 0, 1, \dots
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\beta_{t, l} \geq \beta_{k, l} := \log \theta^l + N \log \log \theta^{|k|}$$

for any $t \in \bigcap_{j=1}^N A_{k_j, l_j}^j$ with $k = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j$, $l = \sum_{j=1}^N l_j$, where $\theta > 1$ is a constant. Put $K = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$, $L = (l_1, \dots, l_N)$ and $a_K = (\theta^{k_1+1}, \dots, \theta^{k_N+1})$, $b_{K, L} = (\theta^{l_1+k_1+2}, \dots, \theta^{l_N+k_N+2})$, $\theta^{L+1} = (\theta^{l_1+1}, \dots, \theta^{l_N+1})$ and define $ab = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_N b_N)$, for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N)$. Noting that $L(x, T)$ is non-decreasing in T for each fixed x , we have

where $r = \sum_{j=1}^N r_j$, $M = (m_1, \dots, m_N)$, $m_j = 0, 1, \dots, j = 1, \dots, N$, and $M+1 = (m_1+1, \dots, m_N+1)$. Applying Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{r_1, \dots, r_N; k_1, \dots, k_N} \\ & \leq P \left\{ \sup_{l_j \geq r_j, j=1, \dots, N} \max_{0 \leq M \leq \theta^{L+1}} \frac{\sigma(a_K) L(x, [Ma_K, (M+1)a_K])}{\theta^{\alpha} \beta_{k,l}^{\alpha}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \geq \theta^N \left(\frac{2\theta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha} \frac{16^N}{c_0} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{l_1=r_1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{l_N=r_N}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l_1}{\theta} \rfloor} \dots \sum_{m_N=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l_N}{\theta} \rfloor} C_1 e^{-\theta \beta_{k,l}} \\ & \leq C_2 \sum_{l_1=r_1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{l_N=r_N}^{\infty} \theta^{-K(\theta-1)} \log^{-\theta N} \theta^{|k|} \\ & \leq C_3 \theta^{-r(\theta-1)} (|k|+1)^{-\theta N}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where C_j , $j=1, 2, 3$, are constants depending only on θ and α . Note that

$$\sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{r_N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{k_N=-\infty}^{\infty} P_{r_1, \dots, r_N; k_1, \dots, k_N} < \infty.$$

It follows by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, t]) \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \theta(t)} \frac{L(x, [s, s+a(t)])}{\lambda_N([0, a(t)]) \beta_t^{\alpha} / \sigma(a(t))} \\ & \leq \theta^N \left(\frac{2\theta}{\alpha} \right)^{\alpha} \frac{16^N}{c_0} \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

By arbitrariness of θ , we obtain (18). The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

Similar to Lemma 4, a combination of Lemma 3 with (13) implies the following lemma.

Lemma 5. If (13) holds true, then

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ L(x, [t, t+h]) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \frac{32^N \lambda_N([0, h])}{c_0 \sigma(h)} y \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{K_{\alpha} \exp(-x^2/2^{N+1}) \sigma(h)}{(\exp(y^{1/\alpha}/4) - 1)^{2\alpha}} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\lambda_N([0, h]) \rightarrow 0} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \sup_x \frac{L(x, [s, s+h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) (\log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^{\alpha+N} / \sigma(h)} \\ & \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta^{k-1} \leq h_j \leq \theta^k} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \sup_x \frac{L(x, [s, s+h])}{\lambda_N([0, h]) (\log(1/\lambda_N([0, h])))^{\alpha+N} / \sigma(h)} \\ & \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq M \leq \theta^{-K}} \sup_x \frac{L(x, [M\theta^K, (M+1)\theta^K])}{\theta^{k-N} (\log(\theta^{-K}))^{\alpha+N} / \sigma(\theta^K)}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

From (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq M \leq \theta^{-K}} \sup_x \frac{L(x, [M\theta^K, (M+1)\theta^K])}{\theta^{k-N} (\log(\theta^{-K}))^{\alpha+N} / \sigma(\theta^K)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \geq 12 \frac{172^N}{c_0} \left(2\theta + \frac{8}{3} \alpha \right) \theta^{N+\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq \sum_{m_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\theta^{-k_1}}{\theta} \rfloor} \dots \sum_{m_N=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\theta^{-k_N}}{\theta} \rfloor} K_{\alpha} C_1 \theta^{4ka/3} \\ & \quad \cdot \exp \left[- \left(\theta + \frac{4}{3} \alpha \right) \log \theta^{-k} 2 \right] \\ & \leq K \theta^{k(\theta-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

for each $0 < h \leq h_0$, $t \in R_+^N$, $y > 0$, $x \in R$.

Using Lemma 5 instead of Lemma 4, proceeding along the lines of the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain Theorem 4.

The proofs of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 are based on the following two lemmas, which are similar to that of Lemma 4 by using (15) (resp. (16)) instead of (12).

Lemma 6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ \sup_x (L(x, [t, t+h])) > 12 \frac{172^N \lambda_N([0, h])}{c_0 \sigma(h)} y \right\} \\ & \leq K_{\alpha} C_1 (\lambda_N([0, h]))^{-4\alpha/3} \exp(-y^{1/(N+\alpha)}/2) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

for each $y > 1$, $0 \leq t, h \leq 1$, where

$$C_1 = 32 \sigma^{-4/3} (1) c_0^{8/3} \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + \sigma_j(2)).$$

Lemma 7. Under the assumptions of Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left\{ \sup_x (L(x, [t, t+h])) > 12 \frac{86^N \lambda_N([0, h])}{c_0 \sigma(h)} y \right\} \\ & \leq K_{\alpha} C_2 (\lambda_N([0, h]))^{-\alpha/3} \exp(-y^{1/(N+\alpha)}/2) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

for each $y > 1$, $0 \leq t, h \leq 1$, where

$$C_2 = 64 c_0^{-8/3} \sigma^{-1/3} (h).$$

Proof of Theorem 5. For integers k_j , $j=1, \dots,$

N , let $K = (k_1, \dots, k_N)$, $k = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j$, $\theta^{\pm K} = (\theta^{\pm k_1}, \dots, \theta^{\pm k_N})$; for integers $m_j \geq 0$, $j=1, \dots, N$, let $M = (m_1, \dots, m_N)$, $M+1 = (m_1+1, \dots, m_N+1)$ and $1 < \theta \leq 5/4$. Then

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we obtain

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \sup_{0 \leq M \leq \theta^{-k}} \sup_x \frac{L(x, [M\theta^{-K}, (M+1)\theta^{-K}])}{\theta^{k-N} (\log(\theta^{-k}))^{\alpha+N} / \sigma(\theta^K)} \\ \leq 12 \frac{172^N}{c_0} \left(2\theta + \frac{8}{3} \alpha \right) \theta^{N+\alpha} \quad \text{a. s.} \quad (36)$$

By (35) and (36) and taking θ near to 1, (22) is proved.

The proof of Theorem 6 is similar to that of Theorem 5 and therefore is omitted.

References

- 1 Csörgő M., Lin Z. Y. and Shao Q. M. On moduli of continuity for local times of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 1995, 58; 1–21.
- 2 Hawkes J. A Lipschitz condition for the stable subordinator. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete.*, 1971, 17; 23–32.
- 3 Perking E. The exact Hausdorff measure of the level sets of Brownian motion. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete.*, 1981, 58; 373–388.
- 4 Csáki E., Csörgő M. and Földes A. How big are the increments of the local time of a Wiener process? *Ann. Probab.*, 1983, 11; 593–601.
- 5 Kesten H. An iterated logarithm law for local time. *Duke Math. J.*, 1965, 32; 447–456.
- 6 Berman S. M. Local times and sample function properties of stationary processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1969, 137; 277–299.
- 7 Geman D. A note on the continuity of local times. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1976, 57; 321–326.
- 8 Horn R. A. and Johnson C. R. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.